arXiv:gr-qc/0502071v1 15 Feb 2005

A tight constraint on some varying speed of light theories

Ahmad Shariati[1] and Nosratollah Jafari[2]

[1] *Department of Physics, Alzahra University,*
Tehran 19938-91167, Iran.
E-mail: `shariati@mailaps.org`

[2] *Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences,*
P.O. Box 1159, Zanjan 45195, Iran
E-mail: `njafary@iasbs.ac.ir`

Feb 2005

Abstract

We argue that if the velocity of electromagnetic waves in vacuum, $c_{\rm EM}$, is different from the limiting velocity for massive particles, $c_{\rm ST}$, then, a proton moving with velocity $c_{\rm EM} < v < c_{\rm ST}$ would radiate Cherenkov radiation. Because we have seen protons with energy $\sim 10^{19}$ eV coming from a distance of order more than 1 Mpc, we can put a constraint on $\Delta := (c_{\rm ST} - c_{\rm EM})/c_{\rm ST}$: $\Delta < 10^{-27}$!

In the past several years, some people argued that a varying speed of light may be a solution to some puzzles in cosmology [1]. But the speed of light being a universal constant lies at the heart of theories of spacetime, and one should clarify exactly what is the meaning of a varying speed of light. As Ellis and Uzan pointed out in [2], there are four different quantities to be named "velocity of light, c". One is the constant appearing in the Lorentz transformations, in the metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ of special relativity, and in the $g_{\mu\nu}$ of general relativity. This is the limiting speed of massive particles and observers. Following their notation, we name it $c_{\rm ST}$. Another notion of the speed of light, is the velocity of the propagation of the electromagnetic waves. We name it $c_{\rm EM}$.

In general relativity, and in the standard model, $c_{\rm ST} = c_{\rm EM}$, but logically they might differ. Let $\delta c = c_{\rm ST} - c_{\rm EM}$, and $\Delta = \delta c / c_{\rm ST}$. In this letter, we argue that the observation of ultra high energy cosmic rays (protons), put a higher limit on Δ: $\Delta < 10^{-27}$!

The argument goes as follows. $c_{\rm EM} = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0\,\mu_0}$, where ϵ_0 and μ_0 are the permittivity and permeability of vacuum. $c_{\rm EM}$ cannot be greater than $c_{\rm ST}$, because it leads to a violation of the special relativity. If $c_{\rm EM} < c_{\rm ST}$, then consider a proton moving with velocity $c_{\rm EM} < v < c_{\rm ST}$. From the theory of Cherenkov radiation [3] we know that if a charged particle moves in a medium faster than the speed of electromagnetic waves in that medium, then

1. it emits electromagnetic waves in those frequencies for which $v > c_{\rm EM}(\omega)$,
2. the energy loss, per unit length, is given by

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\frac{e^2\,\mu_0}{4\,\pi}\int\left(1-\frac{c_{\rm EM}^2(\omega)}{v^2}\right)\omega\,d\omega$$

   the integral begin over all frequencies satisfying $v > c_{\rm EM}(\omega)$.

In vacuum, $c_{\rm EM}$ does not depend on frequency, so that

$$\left|\frac{dE}{dx}\right| = \frac{e^2\,\mu_0}{4\,\pi}\left(1-\frac{c_{\rm EM}^2}{v^2}\right)\int_0^{\omega_{\rm max}}\omega\,d\omega$$

where $\omega_{\rm max}$ is a cutoff frequency.

Now, we know that protons with energy $\sim 10^{19}$ eV, i.e., ~ 1 J, reach the Earth [4, 5]. The velocity of these protons is, to a very good approximation, $c_{\rm ST}$. Therefore,

$$1-\frac{c_{\rm EM}^2}{v^2} \simeq 1-\frac{c_{\rm EM}^2}{c_{\rm ST}^2} \simeq 2\,\Delta.$$

So that,

$$\left|\frac{dE}{dx}\right| \simeq 2\,\Delta\,\frac{e^2\,\mu_0}{4\,\pi}\,\frac{1}{2}\,\omega_{\rm max}^2.$$

And, we know that these protons have come from a distance of the order of 1 Mpc, or 10^{22} m [4, 6]. Therefore, $|dE/dx| < 10^{-22}\,{\rm J\,m^{-1}}$. Setting $e \sim 10^{-19}$ C, $\mu_0 = 4\,\pi\times 10^{-7}$, and the reasonable value $10^{25}\,{\rm s^{-1}}$ for $\omega_{\rm max}$, corresponding to photons with energy $\sim 10^{10}$ eV, we get

$$\Delta < 10^{-27}.$$

References

[1] J. Magueijo, Rept. Prog. Phys. **66** (2003) 2025.

[2] G. F.R. Ellis, J.-P. Uzan, " 'c' is the speed of light, isn't it?", arXive: gr-qc /0305099

[3] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3$^{\rm ed}$ edition, Wiley, 1999, p. 638.

[4] M. Nagano, A. A. Watson, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72** (2000) 689.

[5] R. U. Abbasi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004) 151101.

[6] S. Sarkar, Ultra-high energy cosmic rays and new physics, arXive: hep-ph/0202013.; M. Lemoine, Ultra-high energy cosmic ray propagation in the Universe, arXive: astro-ph/0501124.